1	2	3	4	5
N. Eastern Region :				
A. P.	88.1	58.4	29.7	33.7%
Assam	1409.8	1303.3	106.5	7.6%
Manipur	165.9	163.4	2.5	1.5%
Meghalaya	157.2	157.2	0.0	0.0%
Mizoram	66.4	64.9	1.5	2.3%
Nagaland	86.5	85.5	1.0	1.2%
Tripura	156.1	138.3	17.8	11.4%
TOTAL (N.E.R.)	2130.0	1971.0	159.0	7.5%
ALL INDIA	184706	170720	13986.0	7.6%

Proposals from Andhra Pradesh pending for clearance

592. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVA-JI: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state;

(a) what are the proposals from Andhra Pradesh which are still pending for clearance and

(b) at what stage of consideration are they with details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) and (b) The following proposals from Andhra Pradesh had been received in Central Electricity Authority (CEA) for award of techno-eco-nomlic clearance: —

S. No. & Name of the Project	Remarks fx
1 2	3 4
1. Jegurupadu Gas Based CCGT/	The project was earlier cleared in principle by CEA on 14.3.1991 in the StatefSector. A r vised schemex for 235 MW capacity in the private sector reccived from M/s. GVK Industrics ps a gcnerating company was examined in CEA and found accebtable in principle.
2. Godavari CCGT	The proposal was earlier cleared in principle by CEA on 25.7.1990 for a 400 MW project for execution by NTPC. However, a reccived a received schcme for 200 NL in the private sector reccived from M/s. Spectrum Power Generation Ltd., was examined in CEA and found acceptable in principle.

1	2	3
3.	Kothagudem Stage-V (Units 9 & 10) (2×250 MW)	The Government of Andhra Pradesh is required to comply with provisions of Section 29 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 and also obtain clearances from environment and forest angle from the concerned authorities.
4.	Visakhapatnam (2×500 MW)	While the scheme for execution in private sector was received from M/s. Ashok Leyland and National Power, U.K., in Sept., 1993 for obtaining .techno-economic clearance, the project uthorities have to also obtain a number of other clearances.
5.	Ramagundam Extension (2×250 MW)	While the scheme has been received in CEA in Sept., 1993, the project authorities have to obtain a number of other clearances as well.
6.	Krishnapatnam Stage I (2×500 MW)	The State Government of Andhra Pradesh is required to comply with provisions of Section 29 of the Electricity (Supply) Act., 1948 and also obtain clearances from the prescribed authorities from the environment and forest angle, water availability, transmission arrangements etc.
7.	Priyadarshini Jurala HEP (2×36.9 MW)	The projects have been cleared fromf techno-economic angle by CEA. State Government has initiated action to vbtain the requisite environmental and forest clearances.
8.	Singur HEP (2..7.5 MW) ×	

Blacklisting: of Karnataka Electricity Board by World Bank

593. SHRI J. P. JAVADI: "Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state;

(a) whether Governments attention has been drawn to the report which appeared in the Business Express, Bangalore dated 19th November, 1993 stating that the World Bank has blacklisted the Karnataka Electricity Board on the basis of its efficiency and financial conditions and that no aid would be provided by multilateral agencies for Karnataka-basad projects;

(b) if so, the details of the projects which are not cleared by the World Bank;

(c) the reasons for blacklisting Karnataka Electricity Board; and

(d) what is congentrix and what action this body proposes to take to push through the Karnataka-based projects?